



#### Compositional Model Based Software Development

Prof. Dr. Bernhard Rumpe Software Engineering RWTH Aachen

http://www.se-rwth.de/

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 2

### **Our Working Groups and Topics**

#### Automotive / Robotics

- Autonomous driving
- Functional architecture
- Variability & product lines
- Requirements engineering
- Simulation
- Robotics

#### Energy

- Modeling of facilities and buildings
- Formal planning of functions
- Data management
- Automated analyses
- Quality assurance
- Monitoring

#### **Cloud Services**

- Service platforms
- Migration into the cloud
- Evolution of services
- Internet of Services
- Internet of Things

#### Model-based Software Development

- Tool development
- Tool-Framework MontiCore
- UML, SysML, Architecture DL
- Domain-specific languages (DSL)

- Generation, synthesis
- Testing, Analysis, verification
- Software architecture, evolution
- Agile methods

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 3

### Generative Software Engineering

- Generative software engineering (GSE) is a
  - Method that uses generators to efficiently generate software systems or parts of software systems from models written in UML or a DSL in order to increase quality and decrease development time.
- If DSLs are used, domain experts can model, understand, validate, and optimize the software system directly.
- UML models or DSLs are used to model certain aspects of a software system in an intuitive and concise manner.
- Of-the-shelf or hand-made generators process the models to generate production and test code.



 Domain Specific Modeling Languages (DSML) as a central notation in the development process



• DSMLs serve as central notation for development of software

• a DSML can be programming, test, or modeling language

RWTH Aachen

Seite 5

### Core Elements of an Agile Modeling Method

- Incremental modeling
- Modeling tests
- Automatic analysis: Types, dataflow, control flow, ...
- Code generation for system and tests from compact models
- Small increments
- Intensive simulation with customer participation for feedback
- Refactoring for incremental extension and optimization
- Common ownership of models
- ...



This approach uses elements of agile methods based on the UML notation

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 6

# Constructive use of Models for Coding and Testing: Usage of UML-Diagrams



see: B. Rumpe: Agile Modellierung mit UML, Springer Verlag 2011

#### **RWTH Aachen**

Seite 7

### Model-based Simulation for SE

- Test-Infrastructure needs simulation of its context:
  - context can be: geographical, sociological, etc.
- Simulation helps to understand complexity



**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 8

### View on Model Driven Architecture (MDA)



use cases and scenarios: sequence diagrams describe users viewpoint application classes define data structures

state machines describe states and behavior

technical class diagram adaptation, extension, technical design + behavior for technical classes

code generation +
integration with manually written code

complete and running system

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 9

#### Problems of Model Driven Architecture



- No reuse
- Tool chain too deep
- No efficient tools
- Tracing problems
- Evolution is awkward
- Lot of information missing, e.g.,
  - design rationale
  - non-functional reqs.
- "Agile" development is not possible
- SE-Models are not integrated with other Engineering Models (spatial, biological, ...)

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 10

#### Model composition helps...

- Modularity and composition are essential for:
  - distributed development
  - reuse from libraries
  - Efficient tools (generation, analysis)
- The principle: independently developed artifacts A, B with explicit interface S
- composition: C = A ⊕ B connects A with B at interface S and encapsulates internals
- The principle is well known
  - e.g. classes in object orientation
- But: How does composition of models look like?







RWTH Aachen

Seite 11

### Model composition

- Dimensions of composition :
  - Syntactic: How does A ⊕ B look like?
  - Semantical: What does  $A \oplus B$  mean?



(+)

- Methodical: How to develop A as well as B?
- Organisational: Can we develop A and B in parallel?
- Technical: Can I compile incrementally & individually: means: is there a binding technique for Code(A) ⊕ Code(B)?

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 12

#### Model composition

- Model composition needs
  - a notion of interfaces for models
  - organization of models in artifacts (files)
  - incremental, individual analyses and generation
- but not really a syntactically executed composition.
- Hypothesis:

Compositional modularity for models is essential for the success of model based software development.





|--|



- OCL relies on CD
- Interface is:
  - Person  $\rightarrow$  Kind: class
  - age  $\rightarrow$  Kind: attribute
- + Signature + Type
- Checking correctness early is desirable!
- OCL can also be combined with :
  - Java, Object diagrams, Statecharts, ...



- Statechart uses Java
- Interface:
  - login  $\rightarrow$  in Statechart: Kind: Message
  - in Java: Kind: Methodname + Signature " ()"
- Languages have different interpretations of shared elements!
- $\rightarrow$  translation is necessary!



- Interface:
  - login  $\rightarrow$  in Statechart: Kind: message
  - in Java: Kind: class + (adapted name)
  - NotLoggedIn  $\rightarrow$  in Statechart: Kind: state
  - in Java: Kind: constant
- Transformation necessary and dependent on the context



Combined use of models typically also means language embedding

RWTH Aachen

Seite 17

#### Interfaces/Namespaces

- Hypothesis:
  - Interfaces between models are defined using names
- Interfaces are imported, exported, passed-through (and local)
- There are variants of exports,
  - e.g. for subclasses, global (see e.g. Java)
- "Kinds" of named elements:
  - state, message, method, class, activity, etc.
  - Each kind has its own "form" of interface
    - e.g. state has a name
    - e.g. method has parameters
    - e.g. class has methods + attributes, ...

#### Interfaces/Namespaces

Seite 18

**RWTH Aachen** 

- Composition of heterogeneous languages:
  - E.g. Statemachines know "state"; CD's or Java' doesn't
- Transformation between interfaces adapts
  - kind & signature; sometimes also name
    - E.g. mapping states to constants
- Variants of transformations are possible
  - E.g. mapping states to classes (see GOF's state pattern)
- Special cases may be complex, e.g.
  - Messages may map to action sequences
  - Timing and computations models come into play, ...

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 19

### Signatures (interfaces) for models

- A signature for a model, allows us to
  - check compatibility against signatures
  - and ensure the composition of derived code to be correct.
- This allows to delay the composition: "Late Binding"



RWTH Aachen

Seite 20

#### Language composition vs. model composition

- In agile DSL development we reuse sub-languages and combine languages.
- Consequence:
  - We do not only compose artifacts (files), but also sub-artifacts
  - E.g. a Statemachine embodies Java statements & OCL conditions within the same artifact. They share e.g. local variables.
- Can we apply composition here as well?
  - Can we reuse independently developed code generation within the same artifact?
- Hypothesis:
  - Model composition and language composition are pretty related.



RWTH Aachen

Seite 21

#### Language & tooling workbench MontiCore

- Definition of modular language fragments
- Interfaces between models/language fragments
  - Name spaces, typing (~ Java, UML)
  - "kinds" + signatures
- Assistance for analysis
- Assistance for transformations
- Pretty printing, editors (graphical + textual)
- Composition of languages:
  - independent language development
  - composition of languages and tools
  - Language extension
  - Language inheritance (allows replacement)
- Quick definition of domain specific languages (DSLs)
  - by reusing existing languages
  - variability in syntax, context conditions, generation, semantics



### UML/P language tooling @ MontiCore

Seite 22

Department RWTH Aachen

**Prof. Dr. B. Rumpe** Software Engineering



**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 23

- Goal: generate a complete application
  - basically from a single class diagram
- using an intelligent generator
- GWT-based GUI, search functionality, cloud-based persistence, authentication, roles, rights, ...
- easy extensibility for functionality, GUI, etc.

classdiagram CampusMgmt {		generator			
<pre>abstract class Person {     + String name;     + String firstname;     + String email;</pre>	SE The CampusMgr	nt System		Search	
<pre>+ int age; }</pre>	CampusMgmt	Home Cl Teacher ×	ete Selected Edit Se	lected	
class Teacher extends Person;	teacher	Maoz	firstname Jenna Eaton	email maoz@gmail.com Box@web.com	age 60 🔺
<pre>association Person -&gt; Address [*]; //</pre>	Module	Ngo Wang	Keno Helmut	Jupiter@googlemail.com Tonart@freenet.com	46 41
}		4 of 4 Teachers shown, 0 sel	ected		-

### MontiCore:

#### RWTH Aachen

Department

Prof. Dr. B. Rumpe

Software Engineering

Seite 24

### Selected languages

- MontiCore
  - Bootstrapping
- UML
  - Class diagrams
  - Object diagrams
  - Statecharts
  - Activity diagrams
  - Sequence diagrams
  - OCĹ
- MontiArc



Java

Monti

one

- Architectural models / ADL, function nets
- + automata + Java + views
- Java
  - Java 5.0 grammar
- C++
  - Ansi-C++ grammar

- MontiCore transformations
  - Pattern matching
  - Extended by Java
- FeatureDSL



- Feature diagram & config.
- AutosarDSL
  - Components, deployment, interfaces
- Flight control: constraint language
- Building facility specification
- Curriculum
- Cloud Service Configurator
  - Management of Services

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 25

### Status of compositional MBSE

## Model- and language composition is key to successful use of MBSE



Model composition	++
Language composition	++
Variability for languages & usages	++
Modular language definition	++
Modular analysis	++
Modular generation	open
Modular verification	open
Tooling	+
Model evolution / transformation	(+)
Language library	(+)
Transfer to industry	(+/-)

Thanks for listening. Questions?

**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 27

#### Transformations in MBSE



- Repeatable generation is necessary
- (no one-shots, no manual adaptation of generated code)

**RWTH Aachen** 

#### Seite 28

#### Transformations

- ... strongly depend on the language
  - primitive transformations (add, remove, rename) don't help
  - Semantically relevant transformations needed
- Examples:
  - Split a state in Statecharts
  - Extend an interfaces in an architecture
  - Move an attribute between classes
  - Introduce new class in hierarchy



**RWTH Aachen** 

Seite 29

### Transformations using concrete Textual Syntax

Given a language L we derive (:

- transformation language for T(L)
- transformation engine for T(L)

T(L) understandable for modelers

It uses concrete syntax!

Explaning the transformation rule:

- pattern to be matched
- and replacement parts: [[ old :- new ]] (where "old" is matched and then replaced by "new")
- \$outer, \$inner are matching variables (here bound to state names, but could be any nonterminal)
- Control language for composing transformations
- Negative patterns allowed
- Java for calculations embedded
- ...

```
statechart S {
   state A;
   state B { state Sub1, Sub2 <<initial>>;
        state Sub3;   }
   A -> B;   // transition
}
// transformation rule:
// redirect transitions to initial substates
state $outer {
   state $inner [[ << initial >> :- ]];
}
// transition
A -> [[ $outer :- $inner ]];
```

```
statechart S {
  state A;
  state B { state Sub1, Sub2;
    state Sub3; }
 A -> Sub1; // transition
 A -> Sub2; // transition}
```



AST = abstract syntax tree of model





screenshot of the editor-plugin for Eclipse with auto-completion